

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/823,772	03/30/2001	Beat Mollet	88265-4011		
, ,	7590 09/23/2002				
Allan A. Fanucci WINSTON & STRAWN 200 Park Avenue			EXAMINER KERR, KATHLEEN M		
			1652	••	
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2002	<	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**		Application No.	. *	Applicant(s)				
		09/823,772		MOLLET ET AL.				
Offic Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
	•	Kathleen M Kerr		1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
P ri d for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	Paragraphy and a second state of NGL 4 and G	4.4.0004						
1)⊠ 2-\□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
2a)□	,	nis action is non-f						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
•	4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
· —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ted to by the Exar	niner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · ·	•					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4)	Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s). atent Application (PTO-1				

Application/Control Number: 09/823,772

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Application Status

1. Claims 1-14 are pending in the instant application.

Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
 - I. Claims 1-8, drawn to B. subtilis strain, classified in class 435, subclass 252.5.
 - II. Claims 9-14, drawn to methods for improving flavor by fermenting plant material with a *B. subtilis* strain, classified in class 435, subclass 170.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the *B. subtilis* strains can be used in methods of screening for genes involved in the production of isovaleric acids (since they are a "deletion" strain). Thus, Groups I and II are patentably distinct. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/823,772 Page 3

Art Unit: 1652

Notice of Possible Rejoinder

4. The Examiner notes that if claims in Group I are found directed to an allowable product, then claims in Group II, which are directed to processes of using the patentable product, previously withdrawn from consideration as a result of a restriction requirement, would now be rejoined pursuant to the procedures set forth in the Official Gazette notice dated March 26, 1996 (1184 O.G. 86; see also M.P.E.P. § 821.04, *In re* Ochiai, and *In re* Brouwer). Since process Claims 7, 15, and 17 would be rejoined and fully examined for patentability under 37 C.F.R. § 1.104, Applicants are instructed to amend said claims as deemed necessary according to rejections made against the elected claims.

Election

5. A telephone call was made to Allan Fanucci on September 23, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. § 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Page 4

6. A complete response to the instant Office action must include an election of invention to be examined.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathleen M Kerr whose telephone number is (703) 305-1229. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 8:30am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathupura Achutamurthy can be reached on (703) 308-3804. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-0294 for regular communications and (703) 305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

KMK

September 23, 2002

Lath Le